

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/224,696 01/04/99 CROTTY

C BU9.97.226

EXAMINER

TM01/0828

KEVIN R. CASEY
RATNER & PRESTIA
ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN P O BOX 980
SUITE 301
VALLEY FORGE PA 19482-0980

HARRISON.C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2672

DATE MAILED:

08/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/224,696

Applicant(s)
Crotty et al.

Examiner
Chante' Harrison

Art Unit
2672



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 6, 2001.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment B, filed on 8/6/01.

This action is made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-16 are pending in the case. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 8-11 and 13-15 are independent claims. Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 11 and 15 have been amended.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schwuttke et al., U.S. Patent 6,222,547, 4/2001, 345/440.

As per independent claim 1, Schwuttke discloses generating a grid based on a plurality of data values (col. 6-7, ll. 8 et seq.), associating each data value with a geometric shape according to a predetermined set of rules (col. 6, ll. 29 et seq.; col. 7, ll. 10 et seq.), placing the shapes on the grid (col. 7, ll. 20 et seq.) and displaying visual and geometric information placed on the grid in graphical form (FIGS. 4-7).

As per independent claim 2, Schwuttke discloses identifying a plurality of numerical attributes associated with each data value (FIG. 2; col. 6, ll. 8 et seq.) and associating each numerical attribute with a visual attribute (col. 6, ll. 29 et seq.). The rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 3, Schwuttke discloses extracting a plurality of data values associated with a matrix (FIG. 7; col. 10, ll. 35 et seq.) from the geometric representation (col. 6-7, ll. 29-35; col. 8, ll. 52 et seq.). The rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

As per independent claims 5 and 9, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium having code for implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

As per independent claims 6 and 10, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium having code for implementing the method of claim 2 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 2 is included herein.

As per independent claims 7 and 11, Schwuttke discloses a computer usable medium having code for implementing the method of claim 3 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 3 is included herein.

As per independent claim 13, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for implementing the method of claim 1 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 1 is included herein.

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claims 14, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for implementing the method of claim 2 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 2 is included herein.

As per independent claims 15, Schwuttke discloses a storage device having instructions for implementing the method of claim 3 (col. 5, ll. 37 et seq.). Thus the rejection as applied to claim 3 is included herein.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4, 8, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwuttke et al., U.S. Patent 6,222,547, 4/2001, 345/440.

As per dependent claims 4, 8, 12 and 16, Schwuttke fails to disclose a conductance matrix. However it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the teachings of Schwuttke because he graphically displays electrical data in a matrix (col 7, ll. 23 et seq.; FIG.S. 2 & 4; col. 6, ll. 8 et seq.).

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/06/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Schwuttker distinctly discloses (col. 6-7 et seq.) the grid being formed as a result of object classification. Additionally, Applicant's specification distinctly discloses at pg. 4, ll. 16 et seq. and pg. 6, ll. 13 et seq. that the shapes are placed on a grid.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2672

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

OR:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal
Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
should be directed to Chante' Harrison whose telephone number is (703) 305-3937. She can
normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Michael
Razavi, can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be
directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Chante' Harrison

August 14, 2001

Jeffery A. Brier
JEFFERY BRIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER